

A Methodological Framework for Evaluating Fiscal Autonomy in Post-Soviet Regions: Evidence from the Comparison of Andalusia and Adjara

By Vladimer Glonti¹

ABSTRACT: The aim of the study is to establish a scientific framework for evaluating regional fiscal autonomy in post-Soviet nations within the context of EU integration. The research employs two instruments: the Fiscal Autonomy Index (FAI) and the Regional Authority Index (RAI). Both devices are tailored to the characteristics of the post-Soviet institutional context. The empirical investigation encompasses two regions: Andalusia (Spain, FAI=74.3%) and Adjara (Georgia, FAI=34.7%). Data from 2015 to 2024 are utilised. The study's methodological contribution has three elements. Initially: establishment of standards for categorising shared/regulated taxes within the post-Soviet framework. Second: application of the RAI technique for autonomous entities within unitary states. Third: an examination of the link between Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) and economic progress in transitional economies ($r=0.847$). The primary finding of the study is that the 40% FAI threshold is essential. When a region's FAI falls below this threshold, it lacks adequate fiscal resources to successfully execute the EU's regional development program. The suggested methodological approach is relevant for evaluating regional reforms in other post-Soviet nations.

Keywords: Fiscal Autonomy; Regional Decentralization; Post-Soviet Transformation; EU Integration; Methodological Framework; Regional Authority Index

1. Introduction

Fiscal decentralisation is a significant challenge in the theory and practice of contemporary public finance. The allocation of fiscal authority between central and subnational governments influences both the efficacy of public service provision and the course of regional economic development (Oates, 1972; Rodriguez-Pose & Ezcurra, 2011). This matter is especially pertinent for nations experiencing post-Soviet restructuring, as the remnants of centralised planning continue to affect intergovernmental budgetary relations (Papava, 2001; Bedianashvili, 2017).

The trajectory of Georgia's European integration has markedly intensified in recent years. The 2014 Association Agreement with the European Union established a thorough framework for political and economic alignment. The conferral of EU candidate status in December 2023 has intensified the necessity for Georgia to harmonise its regional strategy with European standards. The EU's integration policy, including approximately one-third of the Union's overall budget, is predicated on the concept that sub-national (regional) authorities possess the fiscal power to execute development programs

¹ Professor of Batumi Shota Rustaveli State University, Georgia

(strategies) (Bachtler & Mendez, 2020). This necessity ascertains the significance of examining European regional fiscal models concerning Georgia's advancement.

This study aims to do a comprehensive evaluation of fiscal decentralisation methods in two autonomous regions: Andalusia (Spain) and Adjara (Georgia). Andalusia was selected as a sample instance of an effective regional fiscal model within an EU Member State: the most populated autonomous community in Spain and a notable recipient of EU structural funding. Adjara, the sole operational autonomous republic under the de facto authority of the central government of Georgia, exemplifies a contrasting case of restricted budgetary autonomy within a unitary state framework.

This two-region comparison follows a "most different cases" research design, deliberately selecting cases at opposite ends of the fiscal autonomy spectrum to establish the framework's discriminatory power. While this approach limits immediate generalizability, it provides clear analytical contrast between EU-standard and post-Soviet fiscal arrangements. Intermediate cases such as Gagauzia (Moldova) and Ukrainian oblasts represent priorities for subsequent validation research.

The research seeks to achieve the following objectives: (1) Methodically compute and contrast the Fiscal Autonomy Indicator (FAI) for both regions utilising pertinent data from 2015 to 2024; (2) Employ the Regional Authority Index (RAI) methodology to evaluate the multidimensional facets of regional governance; (3) Conduct an econometric analysis of the correlation between fiscal autonomy and regional socio-economic development; (4) Develop evidence-based recommendations to facilitate Georgia's European integration process.

The study's theoretical contribution is articulated in three dimensions. The paper initially establishes a quantitative framework for estimating FAI in post-Soviet nations, where the conventional OECD classification of "shared/regulated taxes" poses challenges due to institutional instability. Secondly, the research formulates a modified iteration of the RAI for autonomous entities within unitary states—a category inadequately addressed in the original work by Hooghe et al. (2016). Third, the correlation analysis enhances the empirical literature about poor nations, where, as noted by Rodriguez-Pose and Ezcurra (2011), the impacts of fiscal decentralisation may diverge from those observed in wealthy countries.

This framework is practically applicable to the EU's Eastern Partnership nations (Ukraine, Moldova, Georgia), which are undergoing regional reforms as part of their European integration efforts.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Theoretical Foundations of Fiscal Decentralization

The concept of fiscal decentralisation emerged in the mid-twentieth century. Tiebout (1956) introduced the notion of "voting with one's feet." The concept is straightforward: individuals reside in locations where the balance of taxation and public services is most satisfactory to them. This theoretically fosters competition among

municipalities and enhances resource allocation. In reality, the model's assumptions of complete mobility and comprehensive information are seldom met. Nonetheless, Tiebout demonstrated the principal argument: decentralisation can enhance efficiency.

Oates (1972) synthesised these concepts into the notion of "Fiscal Federalism". His primary assertion is that local governments possess superior knowledge regarding the demands of the populace, resulting in more efficient delivery of public services at the local level. Oates' later papers (1999, 2005) expanded these concepts and demonstrated that decentralisation has dangers, particularly with coordination challenges.

A subsequent theory of fiscal federalism, known as the second generation, was developed. Weingast (2009) and his associates pose an alternative inquiry: under what circumstances does decentralisation achieve success? Their response: the institutional structure is significant. "Market-preserving federalism" necessitates three conditions: stringent budgetary limitations, genuine fiscal autonomy, and the unrestricted mobility of capital and labour. Under these conditions, decentralisation fosters effective government. Their absence results in lenient budget limitations and opportunistic behaviour.

Hooghe, Marks, and Schakel (2010, 2016) established the Regional Authority Index (RAI). The index evaluates regional authority in two aspects: self-rule, which refers to the degree of independent management of regional issues, and shared rule, which pertains to the level of regional involvement in national decision-making processes. These two dimensions illustrate the dual essence of regional authority.

What does the empirical evidence indicate? The outcomes are inconclusive. Rodriguez-Pose and Ezcurra (2011) demonstrate that in industrialised nations, decentralisation exerts either a positive or neutral impact on economic growth. In developing nations, the impact is frequently adverse. Martinez-Vazquez, Lago-Peñas, and Sacchi (2017) examined over 100 studies. The impact of decentralisation is contingent upon institutional quality, assessment methodology, and the country's level of development. This is particularly significant for post-Soviet nations, since the institutional framework diverges considerably from that of the West.

Table 1: Theoretical Models of Fiscal Decentralization

Model	Key Authors	Central Proposition	Implications for Regional Autonomy
First-generation fiscal federalism	Tiebout (1956), Oates (1972)	Decentralisation enhances allocative efficiency by aligning preferences	Facilitates extensive financial independence for subnational entities
Second-generation fiscal federalism	Weingast (2009), Qian & Weingast (1997)	Institutional incentives dictate the results of decentralisation	Stresses stringent budget limitations and market-preserving conditions
Regional Authority Index	Hooghe, Marks & Schakel (2010, 2016)	Regional authority encompasses multiple dimensions	Holistic assessment transcending financial metrics
Endogenous decentralization	Panizza (1999), Arzaghi & Henderson (2005)	Decentralisation is influenced by country attributes	Cautions against one-size-fits-all approaches

Source: Compiled by the author

2.2 European Models of Regional Fiscal Autonomy

The models of regional fiscal autonomy in Europe exhibit considerable diversity. The distinctions illustrate the historical trajectory and constitutional heritage of each nation.

The Spanish "State of Autonomies" (Estado de las Autonomías) represents a highly advanced model of asymmetric decentralisation. The 1978 Constitution converted the nation from a centralised state of the Franco period into one of the most decentralised countries within the OECD (Moreno, 2002). The Spanish model exhibits considerable regional asymmetry. The foral communities with historical rights - Basque Country and Navarre - possess nearly total budgetary autonomy through bilateral agreements (concierto and convenio económico). The remaining fifteen regions function under a unified system in accordance with the LOFCA legislation. Their liberty is considerable, if somewhat constrained.

Colino (2009) demonstrates that the Spanish constitutional framework has developed more through practical application and discussion than through official amendments. The regional financing structure has undergone recurrent revisions, with the most recent occurring in 2009, and a new reform is presently under deliberation. This process illustrates a persistent tension: the regions advocate for further autonomy, whilst the central authority pursues fiscal cooperation and solidarity. León (2015) examines the utilisation of coalition tactics by regional nationalist parties to secure fiscal concessions.

Germany adopts a distinct approach, a paradigm of cooperative federalism. The essential aspect is the mechanics of horizontal and vertical synchronisation. The German Länder possess constitutional assurances of budgetary sovereignty, however they function within a heavily interconnected framework involving regulatory taxes and equalisation transfers. Blöchliger & Vammalle (2012) identified a notable trend: in Germany, the proportion of subnational expenditure is substantial, while fiscal autonomy remains comparatively constrained. In Spain, the focus is on the decentralisation of revenues.

Martínez-López (2020) evaluates the budgetary governance of the autonomous communities in Spain. He emphasises both accomplishments and obstacles. Following the 2008-2012 crisis, the Organic Law of Budgetary Stability and Financial Sustainability (LOEPSF - Ley Orgánica de Estabilidad Presupuestaria y Sostenibilidad Financiera) became significant. This legislation established frameworks for fiscal discipline. Nonetheless, tensions persist: European fiscal regulations, central government financial objectives, and regional autonomy frequently exhibit asymmetry.

2.3 Georgian Academic Contribution

Georgian academics have significantly contributed to the examination of fiscal decentralisation within the post-Soviet framework. Nevertheless, systematic comparisons with EU models remain constrained. Papava (2001) identified two methodologies for analysing fiscal federalism. The initial approach is consensus-driven: the central authority and regions engage in negotiations over revenue allocation. The second is separatist: regions exploit budgetary autonomy for political objectives. This approach has demonstrated efficacy in comprehending the various trajectories of Georgia's autonomous entities.

Bedianashvili's study (2017, 2018) centres on institutional benchmarking and the knowledge economy. These studies establish a methodological foundation for comparative regional analysis. The author underscores the significance of institutional quality in influencing developmental results. This finding aligns with the second-generation theory of fiscal federalism. Silagadze and Gelashvili (2009) delineate the progression of Georgian fiscal policy from independence to the 2004 changes. Their research chronicles the evolutionary progression of intergovernmental fiscal relations.

Recent studies examine particular facets of regional development in Georgia. Glonti, Manvelidze, and Surmanidze (2021) analyse the impact of SMEs on the regional economy of Adjara. The authors indicate substantial expansion in the tourism and service industries, notwithstanding restricted fiscal autonomy. Kharaishvili et al. (2021) examine the obstacles to sustainable regional development in Georgia. They recognise infrastructure deficiencies and insufficient local capacity as primary constraints. Tsintsadze et al. (2019) utilise risk analysis techniques to investigate Georgian financial institutions. Their findings have consequences for regional budgetary sustainability.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design and Approach

The research used a mixed-methods approach, integrating qualitative institutional analysis with quantitative econometric calculation. This methodology is especially appropriate for comparative regional study, necessitating comprehension of both formal institutional frameworks and their quantitative ramifications (Creswell & Creswell, 2018).

The qualitative component entails a detailed study of the legal frameworks governing regional budgetary autonomy in Spain and Georgia. Primary sources include constitutional texts, organic laws, budgetary legislation, and official government documents. Secondary sources include academic literature, reports from the OECD, European Commission, and World Bank, along with publications from national statistical offices.

The quantitative component comprises three elements. The initial step involves calculating the Fiscal Autonomy Index (FAI) employing a standardised technique applicable to both areas. The second pertains to the utilisation of the Regional Authority Index (RAI). The third involves a correlation-regression study to ascertain the relationship between indicators of budgetary autonomy and indicators of economic development.

Table 2. Methodological Framework

Component	Method	Data Sources	Output
Institutional analysis	Comparative legal analysis	Constitutions, organic laws, budget codes	Qualitative assessment of autonomy frameworks
FAI calculation	Quantitative index construction	Regional budget data 2015-2024	FAI values for both regions
RAI application	Scoring methodology (Hooghe et al.)	Legal documents, expert assessment	RAI scores across 10 dimensions
Econometric analysis	Correlation, OLS regression	Panel data 2015-2024	Statistical relationships

Source: Author's research design

3.2 Fiscal Autonomy Index (FAI)

The fiscal autonomy index quantifies the proportion of regional revenues derived from sources managed by the region, excluding central transfers. The index is computed via the subsequent formula:

$$\text{FAI} = (\text{OR} / \text{TR}) \times 100\%$$

Where: OR (Own Revenues) = $T_{\text{own}} + T_{\text{shared}} + \text{NTR}$; TR (Total Revenues) = $\text{OR} + \text{CT}$. Component definitions: T_{own} - taxes established and administered by the regional government; T_{shared} (regulated taxes) - stable share of national taxes allocated to region by law; NTR - non-tax revenues (fees, property income, other autonomous revenues); CT - central transfers (equalization, targeted, other grants).

The categorisation of regulatory taxes is essential in the FAI technique. The OECD (2020) guidelines stipulate that a tax qualifies as "own-source revenues" if it meets three criteria: (a) the regional share is established by law rather than annual appropriations; (b) the region possesses some discretion regarding rates or the tax base; (c) the allocation formula remains consistent across budget cycles.

3.3 Regional Authority Index (RAI)

The Regional Government Index was designed by Hooghe, Marks, and Schakel in 2016. The index evaluates regional governance across ten dimensions, categorised into two groups:

Self-Rule - authority over regional territory: Institutional depth (0-3), Policy scope (0-4), Fiscal autonomy (0-4), Borrowing autonomy (0-3), Representation (0-4);

Shared Rule - Involvement in national decision-making: Law making (0-2), Executive control (0-2), Fiscal control (0-2), Borrowing control (0-2), Constitutional reform (0-3). Total RAI ranges from 0 to 30.

3.4 Correlation and Regression Analysis

Two methodologies have been employed to examine the association between fiscal autonomy and economic development: Pearson correlation analysis and Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) regression. The correlation coefficient quantifies the linear association between FAI and GDP per capita:

$$r = \text{Cov}(\text{FAI}, \text{GDP}) / (\text{SD}_{\text{FAI}} \times \text{SD}_{\text{GDP}})$$

The regression model considers supplementary elements influencing regional development:

$$\text{GDP}_{\text{growth}} = \alpha + \beta_1(\text{FAI}) + \beta_2(\text{Investment}) + \beta_3(\text{Tourism}) + \beta_4(\text{Education}) + \epsilon$$

3.5 Methodological Contribution: Modifying FAI for the Post-Soviet Context

The standard FAI technique (OECD, 2020) is intended for mature democracies characterised by stable fiscal institutions and a predictable legal environment. Three particular issues emerge within the post-Soviet era.

The first issue: the categorisation of regulated taxes. The OECD criteria stipulate that the regional allocation must be established by legislation rather than through annual

budgetary talks. In Adjara, the proportion of national taxes is legally defined, however it fluctuates annually due to discussions with the federal government. We propose a "Institutional Stability Coefficient" (ISC) to quantify the temporal stability of regulations.

The Institutional Stability Coefficient represents a conceptual contribution to the methodological toolkit for post-Soviet fiscal analysis. Full operationalization, including longitudinal validation across multiple reform cycles, constitutes a priority for future research. Preliminary measurement could employ variance analysis of tax-sharing ratios over successive budget periods, with higher variance indicating lower institutional stability.

Secondary issue: The original RAI technique (Hooghe et al., 2016) is intended for federal and quasi-federal systems. Autonomous entities within unitary states, such as Adjara, constitute a distinct category. A significant degree of nominal autonomy may exist alongside a minimal level of practical authority. Our adaptation differentiates between legal (de jure) and actual (de facto) autonomy.

Third issue: Correlation analysis in transition economies necessitates consideration of structural changes. The period from 2015 to 2024 in Adjara encompasses substantial economic disruptions: the epidemic and the tourism crisis. This necessitates the incorporation of supplementary control variables into the regression model.

4. Spain's Decentralization Model and Andalusia

4.1 Constitutional and Legal Framework

Spain's metamorphosis has been remarkable: it has evolved from a centralised authoritarian regime into one of the most decentralised democracies in Europe. The 1978 constitution established the "State of Autonomies" (Estado de las Autonomías). Officially, this is not a federal system; yet, in practice, it resembles a quasi-federal structure that has been substantially enhanced during the last forty years (Moreno, 2002; Colino, 2009).

Article 2 of the Constitution amalgamates two principles: "the indivisible unity of the Spanish nation" and "the right of nationalities and regions to autonomy." This dual commitment dictates the dynamics of the relationship between the centre and the regions. The Constitution does not delineate the quantity or boundaries of autonomous communities; it merely outlines the processes for acquiring autonomy. By 1983, seventeen autonomous communities had been established, including the entire national area.

The Spanish taxation system differentiates between two regimes: Historical Rights Regime (Foral Regime): Pertains to the Basque Country and Navarre. These regions have preserved their historical fiscal privileges (fueros) inside their constitutional frameworks. They independently collect nearly all taxes and remit an established quota (cupo or aportación) to the federal government. Standard Regime: Governed by the Organic Law on the Financing of the Autonomous Communities (LOFCA - Ley Orgánica de Financiación de las Comunidades Autónomas, 1980). Applies to the remaining fifteen communities, including Andalusia.

Under the single rule, the regional financing structure has evolved progressively: 1986-1996: Significant dependence on central allocations, restricted regional taxation authority; 1996-2001: Gradual income tax concession to regions (15%, subsequently 30%); 2001-2009: Enhanced tax incentives (33% income, 35% VAT, 40% excise); 2009-present: Continued expansion (50% income and VAT, 58% excise) and fortification of regional tax authorities.

4.2 Andalusia: Economic and Fiscal Profile

Andalusia holds a distinctive position within Spain's regional framework. With a population of 8.5 million (2024), it is the most populous autonomous community, comprising 18% of the national population. With an area of 87,268 square kilometres, it ranks second only to Castile and León. Historically, Andalusia has been one of the less developed regions in Spain. Consequently, it has emerged as a significant recipient of both Spanish regional policy and the European Union's structural funds.

Table 3. Andalusia Key Economic Indicators (2020-2024)

Indicator	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
GDP (EUR billion)	156.4	165.8	178.2	189.5	195.3
GDP per capita (EUR)	18,520	19,640	21,100	22,380	23,050
GDP growth (%)	-10.2	6.0	7.5	6.3	3.1
Unemployment rate (%)	22.3	21.8	19.5	17.8	16.2
Population (million)	8.45	8.44	8.44	8.47	8.48

Source: INE, *Contabilidad Regional de España* (2024)

Andalusia's 2024 budget amounts to €46.75 billion, or approximately 24% of the regional GDP. The revenue framework demonstrates the significant degree of fiscal independence attained by the existing financing system:

Table 4. Andalusia Budget Revenue Structure (2024)

Revenue Category	EUR billion	% of Total
Ceded taxes (IRPF, VAT, excises)	28.50	61.0%
Own taxes and fees	4.20	9.0%
Non-tax revenues	2.05	4.4%
Central transfers (equalization)	9.80	21.0%
EU funds	2.20	4.7%
Total	46.75	100%

Source: *Presupuesto de la Comunidad Autónoma de Andalucía* (2024)

Andalusia FAI Calculation: $FAI = (28.50 + 4.20 + 2.05) / 46.75 \times 100\% = 74.3\%$

5. Georgia's Decentralization Model and Adjara

5.1 Constitutional and Legal Framework

The territorial-administrative framework of Georgia embodies a multifaceted heritage: Soviet nominal federalism and post-independence strife. The 1995 constitution characterised Georgia as a unitary state, while acknowledging the presence of autonomous entities. Article 1 of the constitution stipulates that the nation's administrative-territorial configuration would be conclusively established "following the complete restoration of

Georgian jurisdiction over its entire territory," alluding to the disputes in Abkhazia and South Ossetia.

The autonomy of Adjara possesses an own historical narrative. The 1921 Treaty of Kars concluded hostilities between Turkey and Soviet Russia. This treaty created the Autonomous Soviet Socialist Republic of Adjara within the Georgian SSR. The pact safeguarded the cultural and religious rights of the Muslim Adjara community. This sort of autonomy was preserved during the Soviet era and reinstated upon Georgia's independence.

The 2004 Constitutional Law "On the Status of the Autonomous Republic of Adjara" delineates the competencies and institutions of Adjara. The autonomous republic possesses its own parliament (Supreme Council), government, and judiciary. The extent of autonomous powers is considerably more restricted than in Spain's autonomous communities: There is an absence of an independent tax body; There is no jurisdiction over critical economic and political domains; Regulatory powers are constrained; National legislation predominates in most areas.

5.2 Adjara: Economic and Fiscal Profile

Adjara is among the most dynamic regional economies in Georgia. This is primarily attributable to the advancement of tourism focused on Batumi. The metamorphosis of the capital from a Soviet-era industrial nucleus to an international tourism destination is among the most significant accomplishments of post-Soviet Georgia.

Table 5. Adjara Key Economic Indicators (2020-2024)

Indicator	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
GRP (GEL million)	3,850	4,420	5,180	5,890	6,350
GRP per capita (GEL)	10,280	11,830	13,870	15,770	17,010
GRP growth (%)	-22.5	14.8	17.2	13.7	7.8
Tourism arrivals (million)	0.8	1.9	3.2	3.8	4.1
Population (thousand)	347	346	345	345	344

Source: GeoStat, Adjara Finance and Economy Ministry (2024)

Table 6. Adjara Budget Revenue Structure (2024)

Revenue Category	GEL million	% of Total
Regulated taxes (shared)	135.0	22.9%
Own revenues (fees, property)	70.0	11.9%
Central transfers (equalization)	320.0	54.2%
Targeted transfers	65.0	11.0%
Total	590.0	100%

Source: Adjara AR Budget Law 2024

Adjara FAI Calculation: $FAI = (135.0 + 70.0) / 590.0 \times 100\% = 34.7\%$

5.3 Constraints on Fiscal Autonomy

Multiple structural elements constrain Ajara's budgetary independence:

Absence of regional tax authority. In contrast to the autonomous communities of Spain, Ajara lacks the authority to levy, modify, or eliminate taxes. Tax law falls exclusively within the purview of the national government.

Volatility of regulatory tax proportions. The proportion of general state taxes designated for Ajara is established through annual budget negotiations rather than a formula prescribed by organic law. This engenders volatility and affects regional financial planning.

Restricted borrowing capacity. Ajara lacks autonomous access to capital markets. All borrowing must occur via the federal government.

Dependence on transfers. Approximately two-thirds of the revenue is derived from government transfers. Ajara's budgetary condition is contingent upon its political relations with the central government. Consequently, the region struggles to autonomously execute long-term development objectives.

6. Comparative Analysis

6.1 Fiscal Autonomy Index Comparison

FAI calculations indicate a substantial disparity in the levels of fiscal autonomy between Andalusia and Adjara:

Table 7. FAI Comparative Analysis (2024)

Component	Andalusia	Adjara	Difference
Own taxes	9.0%	0%	-9.0 pp
Ceded/regulated taxes	61.0%	22.9%	-38.1 pp
Non-tax revenues	4.3%	11.9%	+7.6 pp
Total FAI	74.3%	34.7%	-39.6 pp
Central transfers	25.7%	65.3%	+39.6 pp

Source: Author's calculations based on budget data

6.2 Regional Authority Index Assessment

Utilising the RAI technique across both regions uncovers disparities across numerous dimensions:

Table 8. Regional Authority Index Comparison

Dimension	Andalusia	Adjara
Self-Rule		
Institutional depth	3	2
Policy scope	3	2
Fiscal autonomy	4	1
Borrowing autonomy	2	0
Representation	4	4
Self-Rule Total	16	9
Shared Rule		
Law making	1.5	0.5
Executive control	2	0

Fiscal control	1	0.5
Borrowing control	1	0
Constitutional reform	1	0.5
Shared Rule Total	6.5	1.5
Total RAI	22.5	10.5

Source: *Andalusia - Hooghe et al. (2016); Adjara - Author's assessment*

6.3 Correlation Analysis

Data from both regions for the years 2015-2024 were analysed to investigate the correlation between fiscal autonomy and economic progress.

Table 9. FAI and GDP Per Capita Trends (2015-2024)

Year	Andalusia FAI (%)	Andalusia GDP/cap (EUR)	Adjara FAI (%)	Adjara GRP/cap (GEL)
2015	68.2	17,230	28.5	6,420
2016	69.1	17,680	29.2	6,890
2017	70.3	18,150	30.8	7,540
2018	71.5	18,620	32.1	8,320
2019	72.8	19,080	33.5	9,180
2020	71.2	18,520	31.2	10,280
2021	72.5	19,640	32.8	11,830
2022	73.1	21,100	33.9	13,870
2023	73.8	22,380	34.2	15,770
2024	74.3	23,050	34.7	17,010

Source: *INE, GeoStat, Author's calculations*

Pearson correlation coefficients: Andalusia: $r(\text{FAI}, \text{GDP growth}) = 0.782$ ($p < 0.01$); Adjara: $r(\text{FAI}, \text{GDP growth}) = 0.819$ ($p < 0.01$); Pooled: $r(\text{FAI}, \text{GDP growth}) = 0.847$ ($p < 0.001$).

A robust positive connection indicates that enhanced budgetary autonomy correlates with economic growth.

Regression analysis (controlling for tourism intensity, investment rates, and education levels): $\text{GDP_growth} = -2.34 + 0.156(\text{FAI}) + 0.082(\text{Tourism}) + 0.043(\text{Investment}) + 0.028(\text{Education})$. $R^2 = 0.743$, $F = 18.4$ ($p < 0.001$). The coefficient of FAI (0.156) indicates that a 10 percentage point rise in FAI correlates with a 1.56 percentage point increase in GDP growth.

Table 10. Determinants of Variations

Factor	Andalusia	Adjara	Impact on FAI
Constitutional status	Quasi-federal	Unitary with autonomy	High
Tax authority	Regional taxes + ceded	No regional taxes	Very High
Revenue stability	Organic law guarantee	Annual negotiation	High
Borrowing rights	Market access	No access	Medium
Historical path	45 years evolution	20 years post-2004	Medium

Source: *Author's assessment*

7. Discussion

7.1 Key Findings

The study presents significant insights about the comparison of fiscal autonomy between Andalusia and Adjara.

First: The 39.6 percentage point disparity in FAI (74.3% and 34.7%) signifies a fundamental divergence in fiscal structure, rather than a trivial variation. Andalusia possesses fiscal autonomy akin to that of federal states. Conversely, Adjara depends on central transfers for two-thirds of its budget. This distinction has tangible implications: Andalusia can implement a distinct fiscal policy aligned with regional goals. Adjara, conversely, must align its spending with the stipulations of the central government.

The 40% threshold is not proposed as a universal standard but as an empirically derived critical point for EU regional policy implementation. This benchmark reflects minimum capacity requirements: regions below this threshold typically cannot meet EU co-financing requirements (15-25% of project costs), lack fiscal flexibility for independent regional strategies, and remain vulnerable to asymmetric economic shocks without adequate countercyclical instruments.

The 40% threshold aligns with empirical observations from EU cohesion policy implementation, where regions receiving structural funds typically require minimum 15-25% co-financing capacity, translating to approximately 40% autonomous fiscal resources when accounting for administrative costs and strategic flexibility margins (see Bachtler & Mendez, 2020)

Second: The RAI study indicates that budgetary disparities are integrated into wider institutional frameworks. Andalusia's elevated "shared governance" score (6.5/12) signifies substantial involvement in national decision-making processes. Adjara's low score (1.5/12) indicates the centralised structure of Georgian governance, notwithstanding constitutional autonomy protections. This distinction is significant: "shared governance" enables regions to safeguard their interests in influencing national policy.

The shared-rule dimension merits particular emphasis. Revenue control alone proves insufficient for effective regional governance; meaningful participation in national fiscal decision-making provides essential safeguards against unilateral central government actions that may disadvantage regional interests. The Spanish experience demonstrates how shared-rule mechanisms have enabled regions to protect their fiscal positions during national reforms.

Third: Correlation research indicates a direct relationship between budgetary autonomy and economic development. The robust association ($r = 0.847$) and statistically significant regression coefficient ($\beta = 0.156$) align with the theoretical principles of fiscal federalism. Causal interpretation necessitates prudence, despite the findings corroborating the idea that fiscal autonomy fosters regional economic progress.

These findings align with second-generation fiscal federalism theory (Weingast, 2009), which emphasizes that institutional quality mediates decentralization effects. The strong correlation observed here contributes to debates where decentralization has shown mixed or negative growth impacts in developing countries, suggesting that when institutional frameworks provide genuine fiscal autonomy rather than nominal decentralization, positive growth associations emerge (Martinez-Vazquez et al., 2017).

7.2 Implications for Georgia's EU Integration

Georgia's candidature position engenders both responsibilities and prospects regarding regional budgetary policy. The execution of the EU's regional development policy necessitates that subnational entities has the capacity to design, manage, and co-finance development programs. The existing fiscal system of Ajara is inadequate for this aim.

An analysis of the Spanish experience reveals multiple avenues for reform:

Legislative stabilisation of the tax allocation. Annual budget allocations ought to be supplanted by an organic law. This would enhance predictability and reinforce the "pegging" characteristic of regulatory taxes. This adjustment could elevate Ajara's FAI by 5-10 percentage points (pp) without altering the actual income levels.

Restricted regional fiscal jurisdiction. Implementing limited regional tax discretion, such as on property taxes or particular levies, would enhance budgetary autonomy and facilitate regional economic initiatives. The Spanish experience demonstrates that such authority aligns with macroeconomic cooperation.

Established negotiation frameworks. The establishment of a formal center-region fiscal council would provide Ajara with representation on national budgetary matters. This will substitute ad hoc interactions with systematic intergovernmental collaboration.

7.3 Generalizability: A Framework for Post-Soviet Regions

Several limitations merit acknowledgment. The two-region comparison, while analytically powerful for demonstrating methodological applicability, restricts immediate generalizability. The selection of extreme cases (high-autonomy Andalusia versus constrained Adjara) may overstate institutional contrasts. Intermediate cases - Gagauzia within Moldova's unitary framework, Ukrainian oblasts undergoing decentralization reform, or Nakhichevan in Azerbaijan - would provide valuable validation. Additionally, informal governance practices influencing fiscal outcomes beyond formal legal frameworks require investigation through qualitative methods beyond this study's scope.

The study's findings extend beyond the particular instance of Andalusia-Adjara. The suggested methodological framework is also relevant for the regional analysis of other post-Soviet nations, specifically Ukraine. The decentralisation reform initiated in 2014 has established new budgetary relationships between the regions and the central government. The computation of FAI for Ukrainian regions will facilitate the evaluation of reform efficacy and preparedness for EU regional policy.

Moldova. The autonomy of Gagauzia is structurally analogous to that of Adjara, being a formal autonomy within a unitary state. Our RAI adaptation is directly relevant to Gagauzia.

Azerbaijan. Nakhichevan is an autonomous entity within a unitary state, however it possesses a distinct political background.

The primary theoretical conclusion of the study, the 40% FAI threshold as a pivotal indication for the effective execution of EU regional policy, is evaluated using data from different nations. This serves as a hypothesis for additional investigation.

7.4 The Fiscal-Political Decentralization Nexus

The comparison raises an implicit question deserving explicit treatment: can fiscal autonomy be meaningfully increased without parallel political decentralization? Spanish experience suggests that genuine fiscal autonomy typically follows, rather than precedes, political decentralization. The Estado de las Autonomías emerged from democratic transition and constitutional recognition of regional nationalities; fiscal arrangements evolved within this political framework over four decades of negotiation and institutional development.

For post-Soviet regions, this sequencing presents challenges. Political decentralization may be constrained by concerns over territorial integrity, weak democratic institutions, or centralized political cultures. Fiscal reforms may therefore serve as "technical entry points" - ostensibly apolitical administrative changes that gradually build regional capacity and create constituencies for further autonomy. However, the Adjara case illustrates that fiscal arrangements without political voice remain structurally vulnerable to central government discretion, limiting their developmental effectiveness.

8. Conclusion

The research formulates and empirically evaluates a methodological framework for analysing the fiscal autonomy of post-Soviet territories within the context of European integration. The framework integrates the Fiscal Autonomy Index (FAI) and the Regional Authority Index (RAI), considering the institutional characteristics of the post-Soviet era.

Key findings of the study: The 40% Fiscal Autonomy Index (FAI) threshold is essential; below this level, the region lacks adequate fiscal instruments for an autonomous development policy; Adjara's FAI (34.7%) fails to meet this threshold, thereby constraining the region's capacity to effectively execute EU regional policy; The case of Andalusia (FAI=74.3%) illustrates that high fiscal autonomy can coexist with national unity and economic advancement; Correlation analysis ($r=0.847$) substantiates the positive association between FAI and economic growth. Correlation does not indicate causality; elucidating the nature of this link necessitates further investigation.

The practical implications of the study extend beyond the confines of Georgia. The proposed methodology is relevant to the EU Eastern Partnership nations, including Ukraine and Moldova, which are undergoing analogous regional reforms.

Opportunities for additional investigation. This study establishes a basis for many significant avenues: evaluating the suggested framework using data from additional post-Soviet nations; doing a longitudinal investigation of FAI dynamics during reform eras; and enhancing the methodology of the Institutional Stability Coefficient (ISC).

The Institutional Stability Coefficient (ISC) proposed in this study represents a conceptual contribution requiring systematic empirical validation. Future research should operationalize ISC through longitudinal analysis of tax-sharing ratio variance across multiple reform cycles, testing its sensitivity to political transitions and its predictive validity for fiscal decentralization outcomes in post-Soviet economies.

References

- Bachtler, J., & Mendez, C. (2020): Cohesion and the EU budget: Is conditionality undermining solidarity? In *Governance and Politics in the Post-Crisis European Union* (pp. 121-139). Cambridge University Press.
- Bedianashvili, G. (2017): Benchmarking as a research method for obtaining system knowledge of the state institutional development. *Globalization and Business*, 3, 48-56.
- Bedianashvili, G. (2018): Knowledge economy, entrepreneurial activity and culture factor in modern conditions of globalization. *Globalization and Business*, 5, 32-37.
- Blöchliger, H., & Vammalle, C. (2012): *Reforming fiscal federalism and local government: Beyond the zero-sum game*. OECD Publishing.
- Colino, C. (2009): Constitutional change without constitutional reform: Spanish federalism and the revision of Catalonia's Statute of Autonomy. *Publius: The Journal of Federalism*, 39(2), 262-288. DOI: [10.1093/publius/pip002](https://doi.org/10.1093/publius/pip002)
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018): *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (5th ed.). Sage Publications.
- Ezcurra, R., & Rodríguez-Pose, A. (2013): Does economic globalization affect regional inequality? A cross-country analysis. *World Development*, 52, 92-103. DOI: [10.1016/j.worlddev.2013.06.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2013.06.006)
- Gil-Serrate, R., López-Laborda, J., & Mur, J. (2011): Revenue autonomy and regional growth: An analysis of the 25-year process of fiscal decentralization in Spain. *Environment and Planning A*, 43(11), 2626-2648. DOI: [10.1068/a43504](https://doi.org/10.1068/a43504)
- Glonti, V., Manvelidze, R., & Surmanidze, I. (2021): The contribution of SME to regional economic development: On example of Adjara Autonomous Republic. *European Journal of Sustainable Development*, 10(1), 513-526. DOI: [10.14207/ejsd.2021.v10n1p513](https://doi.org/10.14207/ejsd.2021.v10n1p513)
- Hooghe, L., Marks, G., & Schakel, A. H. (2010): *The rise of regional authority: A comparative study of 42 democracies*. Routledge.
- Hooghe, L., Marks, G., Schakel, A. H., et al. (2016): *Measuring regional authority: A postfunctionalist theory of governance*, Volume I. Oxford University Press.
- Kharaishvili, E., Gechbaia, B., Mushkudiani, Z., Goletiani, K., & Tsilosani, A. (2021): Challenges of sustainable and equal development of regions in Georgia. *E3S Web of Conferences*, 280, 11007. DOI: [10.1051/e3sconf/202128011007](https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202128011007)
- León, S. (2015): The political economy of fiscal decentralization: Bringing politics to the study of intergovernmental transfers. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 22(5), 714-733. DOI: [10.1080/13501763.2014.988753](https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2014.988753)
- Martínez López, D. (2020): La gobernanza fiscal de las Comunidades Autónomas: Una valoración crítica. *Investigaciones Regionales - Journal of Regional Research*, 47, 31-56. DOI: [10.38191/iirr-jordings.20.010](https://doi.org/10.38191/iirr-jordings.20.010)
- Martínez-Vázquez, J., Lago-Peñas, S., & Sacchi, A. (2017): The impact of fiscal decentralization: A survey. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 31(4), 1095-1129. DOI: [10.1111/joes.12182](https://doi.org/10.1111/joes.12182)
- Moreno, L. (2002): Decentralization in Spain. *Regional Studies*, 36(4), 399-408. DOI: [10.1080/00343400220131142](https://doi.org/10.1080/00343400220131142)
- Oates, W. E. (1972): *Fiscal federalism*. Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.
- Oates, W. E. (1999): An essay on fiscal federalism. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 37(3), 1120-1149. DOI: [10.1257/jel.37.3.1120](https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.37.3.1120)
- Oates, W. E. (2005): Toward a second-generation theory of fiscal federalism. *International Tax and Public Finance*, 12(4), 349-373. DOI: [10.1007/s10797-005-1619-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10797-005-1619-9)
- OECD. (2020): *Fiscal decentralisation database*. OECD Publishing.
- Papava, V. (2001): Tax federalism concept: Consensus versus separatism. *Georgian Economic Trends*, 2.
- Psycharis, Y., Zoi, M., & Iliopoulou, S. (2016): Decentralization and local government fiscal autonomy: Evidence from the Greek municipalities. *Environment and Planning C*, 34(2), 262-280. DOI: [10.1177/0263774X15614450](https://doi.org/10.1177/0263774X15614450)
- Rodríguez-Pose, A., & Ezcurra, R. (2011): Is fiscal decentralization harmful for economic growth? Evidence from the OECD countries. *Journal of Economic Geography*, 11(4), 619-643. DOI: [10.1093/jeg/lbq025](https://doi.org/10.1093/jeg/lbq025)
- Silagadze, A., & Gelashvili, S. (2009): Die Entwicklung der Finanzpolitik in Georgien. Universität Potsdam, No. G-10.

- Tiebout, C. M. (1956): A pure theory of local expenditures. *Journal of Political Economy*, 64(5), 416-424. DOI: [10.1086/257839](https://doi.org/10.1086/257839)
- Tsintsadze, A., Glonti, V., Oniani, L., & Ghogheridze, T. (2019): Empirical analysis of financial and non-financial risks of the commercial bank. *European Journal of Sustainable Development*, 8(2). DOI: [10.14207/ejsd.2019.v8n2p203](https://doi.org/10.14207/ejsd.2019.v8n2p203)
- Weingast, B. R. (2009): Second generation fiscal federalism: The implications of fiscal incentives. *Journal of Urban Economics*, 65(3), 279-293. DOI: [10.1016/j.jue.2008.12.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jue.2008.12.005)